

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/617,077	07/10/2003	Jose Luis Moctezuma de la Barrera	80015/043	3385
29471 7	590 11/02/2004		EXAMINER	
MCCRACKEN & FRANK LLP			JOHNSON III, HENRY M	
200 W. ADAMS STREET SUITE 2150			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606			3739	
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/617,077	MOCTEZUMA DE LA BARRERA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Henry M Johnson, III	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/2	<u>8/2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-21,23-27 and 41-58</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,22,28-30 and 34-40</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-33</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	·					
10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 November 2003 is/a		ed to by the Evaminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		· ·				
	ranimer. Note the attached Smoo	7,01011 01 101111 1 10 102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
•						
·						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>082603</u> .	6) Other:	,,				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  Office Ac	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 110104				

Art Unit: 3739

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "154" has been used to designate both the cross piece and post of the docking element (Figs. 4 and 5). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 8, lines 25-30, the reference to figure label 400 is incorrect. The examiner believes it should be 500.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3739

Claims 8 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the predetermined position" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 22 recites the limitation "the predetermined position" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 28-30 and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,408,409 to Glassman et al. (Glassman). Glassman teaches an image directed robotic surgical system with a tool body (Fig. 1, # 36), a tool tip (Fig. 1, # 22), a communications link associated with the tool and a surgical navigation system (Fig. 1), a display unit associated with the tool body (Fig. 1, # 50), a tracking unit made up of LED's on the tool body (Fig. 1, # 34) and a camera unit (Fig. 1, # 28). The directed system can track six degrees of freedom (Col. 3, lines 25-26) and the positional information of the jointed arms of the robotic manipulator. Data from a CT pre-surgery image may be displayed (Col. 4, lines 14-30).

Regarding claims 34-37, Glassman discloses the surgical tool as a pneumatic (power) cutting tool (Col. 3, lines 32-34). Cutting tool is interpreted as including both a drill and a saw.

Art Unit: 3739

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,408,409 to Glassman et al. (Glassman). Glassman is discussed above but does not disclose use with a non-powers instrument. It would be obvious to use the surgical navigation system of Glassman with a non-powered surgical device like a biopsy needle, or any other device that depends on precise positioning to accomplish a procedure.

Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,408,409 to Glassman et al. (Glassman) in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2002/0133175 to Carson. Glassman is discussed above, but does not teach the use of wireless communication links. Carson discloses a surgical navigation system for alignment of surgical devices that includes wireless links to the components to the computer system. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the wireless communication links as taught by Carson in the invention of Glassman to maintain sterility and reduce clutter in an operating theater.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-7, 9-21, 23-27 and 41-58 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3739

Claims 8 and 22 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 31-33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Reasons For Allowance

The prior art of record does not disclose or fairly suggest a method and apparatus for using a surgical navigation system to position surgical instruments wherein the navigation information is displayed on a screen integral to or directly attached to the surgical instrument.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry M Johnson, III whose telephone number is (703) 305-0910. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C Dvorak can be reached on (703) 308-0994 (571.272.4764 after 11/8). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Henry M. Johnson, III

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3739